



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>American Journal of Experimental Agriculture</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_5191
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Water – washed Neem fruits (<i>Azadirachta indica</i> A. juss) on Haematology and Serum biochemical indices of West African Dwarf ewes
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Introduction, line 57-58; the sentence 'The possibility of neem fruit such as washing' has Some redundancy with the previous sentence. Please rephrase this sentence to improve clarity. 2. line 59-61; this sentence is too long, and the meaning is unclear. Please rephrase this sentence to improve clarity 3. line 73-74; this sentence 'sheep were offered.... to the experimental diets.' Suggestion to write: sheep were offered experimental diets for two weeks. 4. table 2, table 3 and table 4, the data should be expressed in the form of mean $\pm$ SE; 5. line 201-205. How the nutrition affects blood profile of animals in reports 19 and 20? please give a brief description.	1. I agree with the reviewer, therefore, I deleted the seed 2. The correction will be highlighted in the main text of the paper 3. 3. The sentence will be changed according to suggestion 4. Tables 2, 3 and 4 coming up with mean $\pm$ SE is an old way of presentation. Moreover, the values are for all the animals in each treatment, therefore SEM is a recent way of presentation 5. Reports 19 and 20 are published literatures that do not need to be described. I do not agree with the reviewer in this case
Minor REVISION comments	Line 69, Replace 'milling was done' with milled.	Replaced. I totally agree with the correction
Optional/General comments	If possible, you should add no Water – washed Neem fruits as comparison.	I do not agree. I neem fruit is fed without processing, all the animals will die due to the presence of anti nutritional factors. Therefore, water washing was the processing technique before feeding the test ingredient to animals.